

**April 26, 2011 Minutes
Washington County Board of Supervisors
Supervisor's Room Courthouse
Blair, Nebraska 68008**

The Washington County Board of Supervisors of Washington County, Nebraska, met in regular session at 9:30 a.m. on Tuesday, April 26, 2011 in the Supervisor's Meeting Room at the Courthouse in Blair, Nebraska. Notice of the meeting was given in advance thereof by publication in the Pilot-Tribune. A copy of the proof of publication is on file in the Office of the County Clerk. Notice of the meeting was given to the members and a copy of their acknowledgment of the receipt of notice and the agenda are on record at the office of the County Clerk. Availability of the agenda was communicated in the advance notice and in the notice to the members. All proceedings hereafter shown were taken while the convened meeting was open to the attendance of the public.

Chairperson, Mary Alice Johnson declared the meeting was in session and that the meeting was being recorded. Chairperson made note that the Open Meetings Law was posted on the door and the north wall. Present: Chairperson, Mary Alice Johnson, County Board Members, Kent Clausen, Jerry Kruse, Jeff Quist, Steven Kruger, Ernest Abariotes and Ron Hinline. Also present, County Clerk Merry Truhlsen and Deputy County Attorney Ed Talbot.

It was moved by Kruse and seconded by Clausen that the rules be suspended and that the minutes of the April 12, 2011 meeting be approved but not read at this meeting for the reason that all Board Members were furnished a copy of said minutes prior to the meeting. Vote- Aye: Clausen, Kruse, Quist, Johnson, Kruger, Abariotes and Hinline. Nay: None. Motion carried.

The following correspondence had been received: Region 5/6 will distribute the new Local Emergency Operations Plan on May 3; the Board is invited to the Vietnam Veterans Traveling Memorial & Museum on display at Memorial Park, Omaha; letter from Brian Mahaffey regarding using compressed natural gas as an alternative to gasoline and diesel; Leadership Training Workshop at Mahoney State Park; and a letter from the Omaha Federation of Labor on the importance of unions and collective bargaining. Motion Abariotes and second Hinline to receive correspondence and place on file. Vote- Aye: Clausen, Kruse, Quist, Johnson, Kruger, Abariotes and Hinline. Nay: None. Motion carried.

Mike Smith, Weed Dept gave the Board information on recent meetings he has attended and spring activities in the dept.

Julie Ogden, JEO Consulting Group, gave the Board an update on the engineering for Project C89(531), culvert replacement on County Road 38 (Marshall-Nursery Road). Ogden met with the Army Corps of Engineers for a pre-permit meeting to discuss the details of the box culvert. It appears it should be able to be permitted, the one question they had was on maintaining low flows throughout the season. Parsons submitted a grant application to the Natural Resources District for this project and they have asked for preliminary plans before May 30, so Ogden brought a plan design contract. Board discussed the project. Quist motioned, seconded by Clausen to approve the plan design contract to JEO for engineering on Project C89(531) located on CR38. Vote- Aye: Clausen, Kruse, Quist, Johnson, Kruger and Abariotes. Nay: Hinline. Motion carried.

Parsons read a Resolution for approval of adding project C89(536) to the Six Year Plan for 2011, which is the Union Pacific Railroad track being upgraded to twin mainline tracks. Hinline motioned, seconded by Kruger to adopt Resolution 2011-18 Adding Project C89(536) to the Six Year Road Plan. Vote- Aye: Clausen, Kruse, Quist, Johnson, Kruger, Abariotes and Hinline. Nay: None. Motion carried.

RESOLUTION NO. 2011-18

BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF WASHINGTON COUNTY, NEBRASKA:

Hereby, request the Board of Classifications & Standards to grant a Revision of our "Six Year Road Plan," to include Project No. C89 (536), which is allow Union Pacific Railroad to upgrade to twin mainline tracks. This improvement will adjust the road profile and will make the crossing safer than it is today. This project will be completed by Union Pacific Railroad and is located on County Road No. 15, which is approximately 830 feet south of US Highway 30. Legal description is northwest ¼ of Section 16 & Northeast ¼ of Section 17, Township 17 North, Range 10 East, Washington County, Nebraska.

Passed and Approved on this 26th Day of April, 2011.

Mary Alice Johnson, Chairperson

ATTEST: Merry M. Truhlsen, County Clerk

At 10:00 AM County Clerk received proposals for the 2011 Armor Coat Program. One bid was received from Sta-Bilt Const Co. Parsons read the proposal for approximately 10.6 miles of armor coat. Hineline motioned, seconded by Abariotes, to accept the armor coat proposal from Sta-Bilt Construction Company for \$162,359.36. Vote- Aye: Clausen, Kruse, Quist, Johnson, Kruger, Abariotes and Hineline. Nay: None. Motion carried.

Parsons read Resolution 2011-19 to the Board of Public Roads Classifications & Standards regarding the "Relaxation of Standards," for the upgrade of the Union Pacific Railroad second track project located on County Road No. 15 (Marshall-Nursery Road). Ogden discussed the procedure involved and the document. Ogden further stated the design speed will change from 50 mph to 20 or 25 mph. Hineline motioned, seconded by Abariotes to adopt Resolution 2011-19. Vote- Aye: Clausen, Kruse, Quist, Johnson, Kruger, Abariotes and Hineline. Nay: None. Motion carried.

RESOLUTION 2011-19

WHEREAS: Certain roads in Washington County, Nebraska, have been designated as being eligible for federal funds by the Federal Highway Administration in compliance with federal laws pertaining thereto, and,

WHEREAS: Said County desires to allow Union Pacific Railroad Company to make improvements on County Road 15 related to a track mainline project in said county, and,

WHEREAS: The State of Nebraska, Board of Public Roads Classifications and Standards, has developed minimum design standards for County roads, and,

WHEREAS: Said Board of Public Roads Classifications and Standards has developed a procedure for reviewing requests for relaxation of said minimum design standards, now therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED: That the Board of Supervisors of and for Washington County, Nebraska, hereby requests of the Board of Public Roads Classifications and Standards, a design exception which will allow the Union Pacific Railroad Company to construct said roadway improvements which include vertical curves with design speeds less than the minimum design standards.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED: That the Chairperson of said County is authorized to sign this resolution on behalf of said Board of Supervisors and that the Board of Supervisors hereby approves the above request for a design exception.

Adopted this 26th day of April, 2011, at Washington County, Nebraska.

ATTEST:
Merry M Truhlsen, County Clerk

Washington County, Nebraska
Mary Alice Johnson, Chairperson

Clausen discussed a ditch that needs to be cleaned out and drainage issues along Co Rd 34 east of the City of Fort Calhoun. Chairperson appointed Clausen, Quist and Kruse to a subcommittee to meet with the City and discuss culvert and ditch concerns on CR34.

Gary Lambrecht and Kara Neuverth, members of the Washington County Ag Society, updated the Board on plans to put up a new building on the fairgrounds property and the removal of the old green building, which was structurally unsound. The new metal building will cost approximately \$400,000. Lambrecht said the Ag Society will pay for half of the building through savings and get a financed loan for the remaining amount. Talbot, who is also on the Ag Society, discussed the ownership of the fairgrounds property, saying in 1966 the Ag Society deeded the property to the County. Kruger, who has served on a State board of county fairs, said most Ag Societies own the fairground property. Chair appointed a subcommittee of Kruger, Quist and Hineline to research transferring the fairgrounds property back to the Ag Society.

Sheriff Mike Robinson gave the Board a list of vehicles, stored at the impound lot, and requested authorization to auction excess and abandoned vehicles. Clausen motioned, seconded by Kruse to authorize Robinson to sell these vehicles at auction. Vote- Aye: Clausen, Kruse, Quist, Johnson, Kruger, Abariotes and Hineline. Nay: None. Motion carried.

Doug Cameron and Jan Mengedoht gave the Board copies of court proceedings. Mengedoht discussed at length problems they are having with the court system. Mengedoht thanked the Board for their time.

At 11:35 the Board convened as Board of Equalization, see separate minutes. Board adjourned from BOE at 11:45 and reconvened in regular session.

Brian Mahaffey discussed his correspondence on using Compressed Natural Gas to supply fuel for natural gas vehicles and the CNG workshop that is Thursday.

Chairman said a letter was sent to the Legislative Redistricting Committee stating the Board's preference to be included in a Legislative District with Counties to the north.

Board gave updates on the following committees: Finance, Bldg & Grds, Assistance, Insurance, Personnel Manual Update, FOP Negotiations, Appointed Official Evaluation Procedure, Joint Co Board/Co Planning and Road.

Doug Cook, Planning & Zoning Administrator had the following agenda items for the Board:

CONDITIONAL USE PERMITS

1. Conditional Use Permit request of Constructors, Inc. % Gregg Leber, project manager

To allow a temporary asphalt batch plant with contractors office trailer, storage trailers and control trailer on Tax Lot 21 in Section 16 and Tax Lot 15 in Section 17-Township 19 North-Range 11 East of the Sixth P.M. (general location: west side of US Highway 75, 1/8 mile north of the US Highway 75 \ County Road P10 intersection). Motion Clausen second Kruse to open public hearing. Vote- Aye: Clausen, Kruse, Quist, Johnson, Kruger, Abariotes and Hinline. Nay: None. Motion carried. Cook explained the request. Randy Howard, Constructors, Inc answered questions. There were no comments from the public. Clausen motioned, seconded by Abariotes to close public hearing. Vote- Aye: Clausen, Kruse, Quist, Johnson, Kruger, Abariotes and Hinline. Nay: None. Motion carried. Clausen motioned, seconded by Abariotes to concur with Planning Commission recommendation to approve with a review in 1 year. Vote- Aye: Clausen, Kruse, Quist, Johnson, Kruger, Abariotes and Hinline. Nay: None. Motion carried.

2. Conditional Use Permit request of Scott Wehrmann

To allow for the application of corn milling biosolids on the N1/2 NW1/4 and N1/2 NE1/4 in Section 24 and the SE1/4 SW1/4 in Section 13-Township 19 North-Range 10 East of the Sixth P.M. (general location: east side of County Road 21, 3/4 mile north of the County Road 21 \ County Road 14 intersection). Kruse motioned, seconded by Kruger to open public hearing. Vote- Aye: Clausen, Kruse, Quist, Johnson, Kruger, Abariotes and Hinline. Nay: None. Motion carried. Cook explained the request. There were no comments from the public. Clausen motioned, seconded by Kruger to close public hearing. Vote- Aye: Clausen, Kruse, Quist, Johnson, Kruger, Abariotes and Hinline. Nay: None. Motion carried. Clausen motioned, seconded by Abariotes to concur with Planning Commission recommendation to approve with the condition that all requirements of the County be continually met and a review in one year. Vote- Aye: Clausen, Kruse, Quist, Johnson, Kruger, Abariotes and Hinline. Nay: None. Motion carried.

WASHINGTON COUNTY SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS TEXT AMENDMENT

3. Washington County Subdivision Regulations text amendment to create a Section 2.06 Subdivision; Procedure: Lot Merger. Kruse motioned, seconded by Clausen to open public hearing. Vote- Aye: Clausen, Kruse, Quist, Johnson, Kruger, Abariotes and Hinline. Nay: None. Motion carried. Cook explained the amendment. There were no comments from the public. Abariotes motioned, seconded by Kruger to close public hearing. Vote- Aye: Clausen, Kruse, Quist, Johnson, Kruger, Abariotes and Hinline. Nay: None. Motion carried. Kruger motioned, seconded by Quist to concur with Planning Commission recommendation to approve as presented. Vote- Aye: Clausen, Kruse, Quist, Johnson, Kruger, Abariotes and Hinline. Nay: None. Motion carried. Clausen motioned, seconded by Quist to adopt Resolution 2011-20. Vote- Aye: Clausen, Kruse, Quist, Johnson, Kruger, Abariotes and Hinline. Nay: None. Motion carried.

RESOLUTION NO. 2011 - 20

A RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR AMENDMENT TO THE WASHINGTON COUNTY ZONING AND SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS.

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Washington County, Nebraska, deem it to be in the interest of the public health, safety, morals, comfort and general welfare of said County and its residents that amendments be made to the WASHINGTON COUNTY, NEBRASKA, ZONING AND SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS;

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission of Washington County, Nebraska, has after a public hearing recommended amendments to the ZONING AND SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF WASHINGTON COUNTY, NEBRASKA, that the amendment specified on Exhibit A attached hereto and incorporated by this reference herein referring to certain deletions or additions to the Washington County Zoning and Subdivision Regulations, and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Washington County, Nebraska, that the deletions or additions set forth on Exhibit A are hereby adopted and shall be in full force and affect from and after its adoption, publication, and filing as provided by law and all resolutions or parts of resolutions in conflict herewith are hereby repealed;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Washington County Clerk is hereby authorized and empowered to make the appropriate changes in the official ZONING AND SUBDIVISION REGULATION book to reflect the deletions and additions made herein.

APPROVED AND ADOPTED THIS 26 DAY OF APRIL, 2011,

WASHINGTON COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
WASHINGTON COUNTY, NEBRASKA
MARY ALICE JOHNSON, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: MERRY TRUHLSEN, COUNTY CLERK

EXHIBIT A

Washington County Subdivision Regulations Text Amendment

Create a Section 2.06 to read as follows:

SECTION 2.06 SUBDIVISION; PROCEDURE: LOT MERGER

2.06.01 General Intent

Lot merger requests shall be presented to the Planning Commission with their recommendation forwarded to the County Board of Supervisors for final action.

2.06.02 Definition

Lot merger shall mean the combination of a platted lot (a lot in a recorded subdivision) or lots and a tax lot, or lots, into the same or a lesser number of lots.

2.06.03 Application Procedure

Lot merger application forms shall be obtained from the County Planning Department. An application shall be accompanied by a survey prepared by a Nebraska licensed surveyor in a format as set by the County. At time of application, one mylar and four paper originals of the survey shall be presented to the County Planning Department. Existing buildings shall be shown on the survey. Applications shall be filed with the County Planning Department. Application deadlines are as established by the County Planning Department. The application shall be signed by the owners of record.

2.06.04 Notifications

The applicant shall notify all owners of land lying within 200 feet of the outer boundaries of all lots involved in the request. If a recorded subdivision has a homeowners association and \ or an SID, and a mailing address is available, they shall also be notified. The list of landowners to be notified shall be prepared by the County Planning Department, which also shall provide the applicant with "Notice of Hearing" forms for this purpose. The notices are to be sent by the applicant to all parties on the aforementioned list by certified mail, return receipt requested, no less than 10 days prior to the Commission's public hearing, not including the date mailed or the date of the hearing. The white receipts for certified mail and the green return receipt cards must be returned to the County Planning Department prior to the Planning Commission's hearing, as part of the official record. If such mailing documentation is not submitted by the aforementioned deadline, the application may be continued to the next meeting.

2.06.05 Legal Publication

Upon receipt of a completed application, and payment of fees as specified by this Regulation, the lot merger request shall be publicly advertised. Notice of the time and place of the public hearing before the Commission shall be given by publication thereof in the County's legal newspaper at least 10 days prior to the Commission's public hearing, not including the day published or the day of the hearing. The Commission shall hold the public hearing within 45 days of the completed application being filed with the County Planning Department.

2.06.06 Approval Guidelines

1. All lots involved in the lot merger shall:
 - a. have the same zoning
 - b. if needed, comply with the County's road dedication requirements
 - c. not be required to perform a drainage study

- d. not result in a tract without direct access to a street;
- g. not create a substandard sized lot or parcel

2.06.07 Others

1. No lot merger shall be filed until the County Treasurer has certified (by signature on the survey) that there are no regular or special taxes due or delinquent against the affected properties.
2. The application fee for a lot merger shall be the same as for a lot split as detailed in these Regulations.

WASHINGTON COUNTY ZONING REGULATIONS TEXT AMENDMENT

4. Washington County Zoning Regulations text amendment to Section 7.01 Radio, Television and Wireless Communication Towers and Facilities, subsections 7.01.02 Intent, 7.01.03 Definitions, 7.01.04 Development of Towers, 7.01.05 Setbacks, 7.01.06 Structural Requirements, 7.01.07 Separation or buffer requirements, 7.01.08 Method of Determining Tower Height, 7.01.09 Illumination and Security Fences, 7.01.12 Stealth Design, 7.01.03 Telecommunications Facilities on Antenna Support Structures, 7.01.14 Modification of Towers, 7.01.15 Certification and Inspections, 7.01.16 Maintenance, 7.01.17 Criteria for Site Plan Development Modifications, 7.01.18 Abandonment, 7.01.19 Severability; and add a new subsection called Bonding. Kruse motioned, seconded by Clausen to open public hearing. Vote- Aye: Clausen, Kruse, Quist, Johnson, Kruger, Abariotes and Hine. Nay: None. Motion carried. Cook explained the amendment is to simplify and de-clutter this entire section regarding communication towers. There were no comments from the public. Clausen motioned, seconded by Quist to close public hearing. Vote- Aye: Clausen, Kruse, Quist, Johnson, Kruger, Abariotes and Hine. Nay: None. Motion carried. Quist motioned, seconded by Clausen to concur with Planning Commission recommendation to approve as presented. Vote- Aye: Clausen, Kruse, Quist, Johnson, Kruger, Abariotes and Hine. Nay: None. Motion carried. Kruger motioned, seconded by Abariotes to adopt Resolution 2011-21. Vote- Aye: Clausen, Kruse, Quist, Johnson, Kruger, Abariotes and Hine. Nay: None. Motion carried.

RESOLUTION NO. 2011 - 21

A RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR AMENDMENT TO THE WASHINGTON COUNTY ZONING AND SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS.

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Washington County, Nebraska, deem it to be in the interest of the public health, safety, morals, comfort and general welfare of said County and its residents that amendments be made to the WASHINGTON COUNTY, NEBRASKA, ZONING AND SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS;

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission of Washington County, Nebraska, has after a public hearing recommended amendments to the ZONING AND SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF WASHINGTON COUNTY, NEBRASKA, that the amendment specified on Exhibit A attached hereto and incorporated by this reference herein referring to certain deletions or additions to the Washington County Zoning and Subdivision Regulations, and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Washington County, Nebraska, that the deletions or additions set forth on Exhibit A are hereby adopted and shall be in full force and effect from and after its adoption, publication, and filing as provided by law and all resolutions or parts of resolutions in conflict herewith are hereby repealed;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Washington County Clerk is hereby authorized and empowered to make the appropriate changes in the official ZONING AND SUBDIVISION REGULATION book to reflect the deletions and additions made herein.

APPROVED AND ADOPTED THIS 26 DAY OF APRIL, 2011,

WASHINGTON COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
WASHINGTON COUNTY, NEBRASKA
MARY ALICE JOHNSON, CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: MERRY TRUHLSEN, COUNTY CLERK

EXHIBIT A

Washington County Zoning Regulations Text Amendment

Delete the current Section 7.01 Radio, Television and Wireless Communication Towers and Facilities and replace with the following:

Section 7.01 Radio, Television and Wireless Communication Towers and Facilities

7.01.01 Purpose

The general purpose of this Section is to regulate the placement, construction, and modification of towers and telecommunications facilities in order to protect the health, safety, and welfare of the public, while at the same time not unreasonably interfering with the development of the competitive wireless telecommunications marketplace in the County.

7.01.02 Intent

The Communications Act of 1934, as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the Act) grants the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) exclusive jurisdiction over certain aspects of telecommunication services. This Section is intended to regulate towers, telecommunications facilities and antennas in the County in conformance with the Act without prohibiting or tending to prohibit any person from providing wireless telecommunication service. The Act gives the FCC sole jurisdiction of the field of regulation of RF emissions and does not allow the County to condition or deny, on the basis of RF impacts, the approval of any telecommunications facilities (whether mounted on towers or antenna support structures) which meet FCC standards.

Specifically, the purposes of this Section are:

1. To regulate the location of towers and telecommunications facilities in the County;
2. To protect residential areas and land uses from potential adverse impact of towers and telecommunications facilities;
3. To minimize adverse visual impact of towers and telecommunications facilities through careful design, siting, landscaping, and innovative camouflaging techniques;
4. To promote and encourage shared use / co-location of towers and antenna support structures as a primary option rather than construction of additional single-use towers;
5. To promote and encourage utilization of technological designs that will either eliminate or reduce the need for erection of new tower structures to support antenna and telecommunications facilities;
6. To avoid potential damage to property caused by towers and telecommunications facilities by ensuring such structures are soundly and carefully designed, constructed, modified, maintained, and removed when no longer used or are determined to be structurally unsound; and
7. To ensure that towers and telecommunications facilities are compatible with surrounding land uses.

7.01.03 Definitions

The following words, terms, and phrases, when used in this Section, shall have the meanings ascribed to them in this Section, except where the context clearly indicates a different meaning:

1. *Act* means the Telecommunications Act of 1996.
2. *Antenna* means a device designed and intended for transmitting or receiving television, radio, or microwave signals, direct satellite service (including direct-to-home satellite service), and/or video programming services via multi-point distribution services.
3. *Antenna Support Structure* means any building or structure other than a tower that can be used for location of telecommunications facilities.
4. *Applicant* means any person that applies for a tower conditional use permit.
5. *Engineer* means any engineer licensed by the State of Nebraska.
6. *Owner* means any person with fee title or a long-term (exceeding 10 years) leasehold to any parcel of land within the zoning jurisdiction of the County who desires to develop, or construct, build, modify, or erect a tower upon such parcel of land.
7. *Person* is any natural person, firm, partnership, association, corporation, company, or other legal entity, private or public, whether for profit or not for profit.
8. *Satellite Dish Antenna* means an antenna consisting of a radiation element intended for transmitting or receiving television, radio, microwave, or radiation signals and supported by a structure with or without a reflective component to the radiating dish, usually circular in shape.
9. *Stealth* means any tower or telecommunications facility which is designed to enhance compatibility with adjacent land uses, including, but not limited to, architecturally screened roof-mounted antennas, antennas integrated into architectural elements, and towers designed to look other than like a tower such as light poles, power poles, and trees. The term stealth does not necessarily exclude the use of un-camouflaged lattice, guyed, or monopole tower designs.
10. *Telecommunications Facilities* means any cables, wires, lines, wave guides, antennas, and any other equipment or facilities associated with the transmission or reception of communications which a person seeks to locate or has installed upon or near a tower or antenna support structure. However, telecommunications facilities shall not include:
 - a. Any amateur radio station operator/receive-only antenna. This Section shall not govern the installation of any antenna owned or operated by an amateur radio operator and used exclusively for receive-only antennas and for private noncommercial purposes that may be regulated elsewhere in the this Regulation.
 - b. Any earth station antenna or satellite dish antenna of one meter or less in diameter, regardless of zoning applicable to the location of the antenna.
11. *Tower* means a self-supporting lattice, guyed, or monopole structure constructed from grade which supports telecommunications facilities.

7.01.04 Development of Towers

1. Towers shall be permitted conditional uses of land in only those zoning districts where specifically listed and authorized in this Regulation.
2. Towers are exempt from the maximum height restrictions of the districts where located.
3. No new tower shall be built, constructed, or erected within the County unless the tower is capable of supporting another person's operating telecommunications facilities comparable in weight, size, and surface area to the telecommunications facilities installed by the applicant on the tower
4. An application to develop a tower shall include a narrative containing the following:
 - a. The name, address, and telephone number of the owner and lessee of the parcel of land upon which the tower is situated. If the applicant is not the owner of the parcel of land upon which the tower is situated, the written consent of the owner shall be evidenced in the application.
 - b. The legal description and address of the parcel of land upon which the tower is situated.
 - c. The names, addresses, and telephone numbers of all owners of other towers within a two mile radius of the proposed new tower site, including any government-owned property.

- d. A description of the design plan proposed by the applicant identifying its utilization of the most recent technological design, including microcell design, as part of the design plan. The applicant must demonstrate the need for towers and why design alternatives, such as the use of microcell, cannot be utilized to accomplish the provision of the applicant's telecommunications services.
 - e. A written statement attesting to the fact that the applicant made diligent, but unsuccessful, efforts to obtain permission to install or co-locate the applicant's telecommunications facilities on any publically or privately owned towers located within a two mile radius of the proposed tower site.
 - f. Written technical evidence from an engineer(s) that the proposed tower or telecommunications facilities cannot be installed or co-located on another person's tower located within a two mile radius of the proposed tower site.
 - g. A written statement from an engineer(s) that the construction and placement of the tower will not interfere with public safety communications and the usual and customary transmission or reception of radio, television, or other communications services enjoyed by adjacent residential and non-residential properties.
 - h. Written, technical evidence from an engineer(s) acceptable to the State Fire Marshal and the Building Inspector that the proposed site of the tower or telecommunications facilities does not pose a risk of explosion, fire, or other danger to life or property due to its proximity to volatile, flammable, explosive, or hazardous materials such as LP gas, propane, gasoline, natural gas, or corrosive or other dangerous chemicals.
 - i. In order to assist the County Planning Department, Commission and Board in evaluating visual impact, the applicant shall submit color photo simulations showing the proposed site of the tower with a photo-realistic representation of the proposed tower as it would appear viewed from adjacent roadways.
 - j. Descriptions and diagrams of the proposed tower, telecommunications facilities and/or antenna, manufacturers literature, appurtenances such as buildings, driveways, parking areas, and fences or other security enclosures with significant detail to allow persons reviewing the application to understand the kind and nature of the proposed facility.
5. The Commission may require an applicant to supplement any information the Commission considers inadequate or the applicant has failed to supply as required by this Section. In granting a conditional use permit, conditions may be imposed to the extent that the Commission and Board conclude that such are reasonably necessary to minimize any adverse effect of the proposed tower on adjoining properties. The Commission or Board may deny an application on the basis that the applicant has not satisfactorily supplied the information required in this Section.
 6. The Commission and Board of Supervisors may consider the following factors in determining whether to issue a conditional use permit:
 - a. Height of proposed tower.
 - b. Proximity of the tower to residential structures and residentially zoned district boundaries.
 - c. Nature of uses on adjacent and nearby properties.
 - d. Surrounding topography.
 - e. Surrounding tree coverage and foliage.
 - f. Design of tower, with particular reference to design characteristics that have the effect of reducing or eliminating visual obtrusiveness.
 - g. Proposed ingress and egress.
 - h. Availability of suitable existing towers, other structures or alternative technologies not requiring the use of towers or structures.
 7. It is the applicant's responsibility to demonstrate to the reasonable satisfaction of the Commission and Board that no existing tower structure alternative technology that does not require the use of towers or structures can accommodate the applicant's proposed tower. Evidence submitted to demonstrate that no existing tower structure alternative technology can accommodate the applicant's proposed antenna may include any of the following (although nothing should be construed to infer that meeting one, some, or all of the following shall entitle the applicant to approval):
 - a. No existing towers or structures are located within the geographic area that meets applicant's engineering requirements.
 - b. Existing towers or structures are not of sufficient height to meet applicant's engineering requirements.
 - c. Existing towers or structures do not have sufficient structural strength to support applicant's proposed antenna and related equipment.
 - d. The applicant's proposed antenna would cause interference with the antenna on existing towers or structures or the antenna on the existing towers or structures would cause interference with the applicant's proposed antenna.
 - e. The fees, costs or contractual provisions required by the owner in order to share an existing tower or structure or to adapt an existing tower or structure are commercially unreasonable.
 - f. The applicant demonstrates that there are other limiting factors that render existing towers and structures unsuitable.
 - g. The applicant demonstrates that an alternative technology that does not require the use of towers or structures are unsuitable. Costs of alternative technology that exceed new tower or antenna development shall not be presumed to render the technology unsuitable.

7.01.05 Setbacks

1. All towers and support structures (guy wires, etc.) shall be set back on all sides a distance equal to the minimum front yard, rear yard and side yard setback requirement in the applicable zoning district. In addition to the minimum yard setback requirements, all towers (excluding guy wires) shall be set back one additional foot per each foot of tower height.
2. Setback requirements for towers shall be measured from the base of the tower to the property line of the parcel of land on which it is located.
3. Setback requirements may be modified, by the Commission and Board as a condition of approval when placement of a tower in a location will reduce the visual impact. For example, adjacent to trees which may visually hide the tower.

7.01.06 Separation or Buffer Requirements

For the purpose of this Section, tower separation distances from residentially zoned lands shall be measured from the base of a tower to the closest point of residentially zoned property. The minimum tower separation distances from residentially zoned land shall be calculated and applied irrespective of County jurisdictional boundaries.

1. Towers shall be separated from all residentially zoned lands by a minimum of 200 feet or 200 percent of the height of the proposed tower, whichever is greater.

7.01.07 Method of Determining Tower Height

Measurement of tower height for the purpose of determining compliance with requirements of this Section shall include the tower structure itself, the base pad, and any other telecommunications facilities attached thereto. Tower height shall be measured from grade.

7.01.08 Illumination and Security Fences

1. Towers shall not be artificially lighted except as required by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). Upon commencement of construction of the tower, in cases where there is residentially zoned land located within a distance which is 300 percent of the height of the tower from the tower and when required by federal law, dual mode lighting shall be requested from the FAA. In no case shall said tower be allowed to operate a strobe lighting system after sunset and before dawn.
2. All self-supporting lattice or guyed towers shall be enclosed within a security fence or other structure designed to preclude unauthorized access. Monopole towers shall be designed and constructed in a manner which will preclude to the extent practical, unauthorized climbing of said structure.

7.01.09 Exterior Finish

Towers not requiring FAA painting or marking shall have an exterior finish which enhances compatibility with adjacent land uses, as approved by the Commission and Board.

7.01.10 Landscaping

All landscaping on a parcel of land containing towers, antenna support structures, or telecommunications facilities shall be in accordance with conditions as applied by the Commission and Board. The landscaping purpose is to enhance compatibility with adjacent land uses. Landscaping shall be installed on the outside of any fencing.

7.01.11 Stealth Design

Stealth design is encouraged. The issue of stealth design will be considered as an element of the building design criteria considered as part of the issuance of the conditional use permit.

No signs shall be allowed on any antenna, tower or guy wires.

7.01.12 Telecommunications Facilities on Antenna Support Structures

Any telecommunications facilities which are not attached to a tower may be permitted on any antenna support structure. The owner of such structure shall, by written certification to the planning administrator, establish the following at the time plans are submitted for a building permit:

1. That the height from grade of the telecommunications facilities shall not exceed the height from grade of the antenna support structure by more than 20 feet;
2. That any telecommunications facilities and their appurtenances, located above the primary roof of an antenna support structure, are set back one foot from the edge of the primary roof for each one foot in height above the primary roof of the telecommunications facilities. Setback requirements shall not apply to stealth antennas which are mounted to the exterior of antenna support structures below the primary roof, but which do not protrude more than 18 inches from the side of such an antenna support structure.

7.01.13 Modification of Towers

1. A tower existing prior to the effective date of this Regulation, may continue in existence as a nonconforming structure. Additional antennas may be added to such tower (building permit required) provided they do not increase the overall height of the existing tower, otherwise refer to the Nonconforming Structures Section of these Regulations. Additional support buildings (building permit required) shall meet the current setback regulations for accessory buildings from property lines.

7.01.14 Certification and Inspections

1. All towers shall be certified by an engineer to be structurally sound and in conformance with the requirements of the Building Code and all other construction standards set forth by County codes.
2. The County, or its agents, shall have authority to enter onto the property, upon which a tower is located, to inspect the tower for the purpose of determining whether it complies with the Building Code and all other construction standards of the County.
3. The County reserves the right to conduct such inspections at any time, upon reasonable notice to the tower owner.

7.01.15 Maintenance

1. Tower owners shall at all times employ ordinary and reasonable care and shall install and maintain in use nothing less than commonly accepted methods and devices for preventing failures and accidents which are likely to cause damage, injuries, or nuisances to the public.
2. Tower owners shall install and maintain towers, telecommunications facilities, wires, cables, fixtures, and other equipment in substantial compliance with the requirements of the County's electrical code and all FCC, state, and local regulations, and in such manner that will not interfere with the use of other property.
3. All towers, telecommunications facilities, and antenna support structures shall at all times be kept and maintained in good condition, order, and repair so that the same shall not menace or endanger the life or property of any person.
4. All towers shall maintain compliance with current RF emission standards of the FCC.
5. In the event that the use of a tower is discontinued by the tower owner, the tower owner shall provide written notice to the County of its intent to discontinue use and the date when the use shall be discontinued.

7.01.16 Abandonment

If any tower shall cease to be used for a period of 180 consecutive days, the County Planning Department shall notify the owner, with a copy to the applicant, that the site will be subject to a determination by the Commission and Board that such site has been abandoned. The owner shall have 30 days from receipt of said notice to show, by a preponderance of the evidence that the tower has been in use or under repair during the period. If the owner fails to show that the tower has been in use or under repair during the period, the Planning Department shall issue a final determination of abandonment for the site. Upon issuance of the final determination of abandonment, the owner shall, within 90 days, dismantle and remove the tower.

7.01.17 Bonding

To secure the obligation set forth in this Section as relates to the abandonment \ anticipated cost of removal of any tower, the applicant (and/or owner) shall post a bond in an amount of \$25,000 to be provided to the County prior to the Board of Supervisors public hearing on the conditional use permit request. The County may change this bonding amount during any reviews of the conditional use permit.

7.01.18 Severability

That if any clause, section, or other part of this Section shall be held invalid or unconstitutional by any court of competent jurisdiction, the remainder of this Section shall not be affected thereby, but shall remain in full force and effect.

Abariotes left the meeting at 1:55.

5. Conditional Use Permit for ATT Wireless Mobility % Dan Dunne and Sam Mandolfo

To allow a 240-foot tall self support communication tower and associated equipment shelter buildings on Tax Lot 4, Section 2-Township 17 North-Range 11 East of the Sixth P.M. (general location: east side of State Highway 133 and south side of County Road 30). Quist motioned, seconded by Clausen to open public hearing. Vote- Aye: Clausen, Kruse, Quist, Johnson, Kruger and Hinline. Abariotes absent. Nay: None. Motion carried. Cook explained the request. Sam Mandolfo answered questions from the Board. There were no comments from the public. Clausen motioned, seconded by Quist to close public hearing. Vote- Aye: Clausen, Kruse, Quist, Johnson, Kruger, Abariotes and Hinline. Nay: None. Motion carried. Clausen motioned, seconded by Quist to concur with Planning Commission recommendation to approve with the following conditions that: (1) a certification shall be provided to the County Planning Dept by an engineer stating the tower to be structurally sound and in conformance with the requirements of the Building Code and all other State/Federal Codes; (2) that the \$25,000 bond be provided to the County Planning Dept prior to the County Supervisors' hearing on this request (he has); and (3) a review in 10 years. Vote- Aye: Clausen, Kruse, Quist, Johnson, Kruger and Hinline. Abariotes absent. Nay: None. Motion carried.

WASHINGTON COUNTY BUILDING CODES

6. Adopt the 2009 IBC (this covers everything - commercial & industrial - but 1 & 2 family dwellings).

(i) Specifically not adopted are Appendix's A, B, D, E, F, G, H, J & K (these are the same as what was not adopted in the 2003 codes).

(ii) The following Appendix's will be adopted: Appendix C -Group U - Agricultural Buildings; Appendix I- Patio Covers (this is the same as was adopted with the 2003 codes).

7. Adopt the 2009 IRC (International Residential Code for One and Two-Family dwellings).

(i) Specifically not adapted Section R313 - Automatic Fire Sprinkler Systems (page 62). This entire Section, including all subsections is to be replaced with Section R317-Dwelling unit separation from the International Residential Code, 2006 Edition.

(ii) Delete Part VIII electrical covering chapters 34 through 43 and Appendix's A, B, D, E, F, I, K, L, M, N, O, P & Q (these are the same as what was not adopted in the 2003 codes).

(iii) The following Appendix's will be adopted: G - Swimming pools, spas, and hot tubs, H -patio covers and J – Existing Buildings and Structures (these are the same as what was adopted in the 2003 codes).

8. Washington County Amendments to the 2009 IRC:

(i) Section R202 Definitions. Add the following definition (pg 19) sleeping room. Any room in the house that is greater than 70 square feet, has a built-in closet space and typically could be used as a bedroom. This does not include rooms used for cooking, eating, family living or gathering and excludes bathrooms, toilet rooms, halls, storage, utility and workshop space and all unconditional space (defined as unfinished area with no electrical, heat or air) space.

(ii) Page 48 Table R301.5 Minimum uniformly distributed live load. Change this table by deleting the number "30" (live load for sleeping room) and replacing it with the number "40".

(iii) Section R314.3.1 - Smoke Detectors - Alteration, Repairs and Additions- add to exceptions a number 3 – permits limited to the installation, alteration or repairs of electrical systems are exempt from the requirements of this Section.

(iv) Section R315.2 Carbon Monoxide Alarms - Where required in existing dwellings, the following exceptions are added:

(1) Work involving the exterior surfaces of dwellings, or the addition or replacement of windows and doors, or the addition of a porch or deck, are exempt from the requirements of this Section.

(2) Permits limited to the installation, alteration or repairs of plumbing, mechanical or electrical systems are exempt from the requirements of this Section.

9. Adopt the 2009 IPC (International Plumbing Code)

10. Adopt the 2009 IMC (International Mechanical Code)

11. Adopt the 2009 IECC (International Energy Conservation Code)

12. Adopt the 2008 NEC NFPA70 (National Electrical Code) and new electrical codes when adopted by the State.

Cook informed the Board that effective September 9, 2011 new codes will be effective statewide, per action by the Nebraska Legislature. Kruse motioned, seconded by Clausen, to have the subcommittee of six discuss this new information. Vote- Aye: Clausen, Kruse, Quist, Johnson, Kruger and Hinline. Abariotes absent. Nay: None. Motion carried.

OLD BUSINESS 1. April 2011 Conditional Use Permit reviews:

<u>FILE #</u>	<u>APPLICANT</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>	<u>ADDRESS</u>	<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>
09-02	Camp Fontanelle	RV Park	9677 County Road 3	approve, 2 year review

Kruger motioned, seconded by Clausen to concur with Planning Commission recommendation that the Camp Fontanelle CUP #09-02, for an RV Park, be extended for two years - to March, 2013. Vote- Aye: Clausen, Kruse, Quist, Johnson, Kruger and Hinline. Abariotes absent. Nay: None. Motion carried.

NEW BUSINESS 1. Discussion and possible action on the JEO contract update for National Pollution Discharge Elimination System and plat review services. Cook explained the benefits of having a pre-plat meeting with the developers and Julie Ogden to verify that the roads are platted correctly. Quist motioned, seconded by Clausen to concur with Planning Commission that the establishment of an estimated \$375 pre-platting meeting fee be added to the schedule of Review Fees for Developments in Washington County. Vote- Aye: Clausen, Kruse, Quist, Johnson, Kruger and Hinline. Abariotes absent. Nay: None. Motion carried.

Board received the recommendation from the Appointed Official Evaluation Committee on setting the wage for new Veteran Service Officer. Clausen motioned, seconded by Hinline to set the wage at \$15 per hour. Vote- Aye: Clausen, Kruse, Quist, Johnson, Kruger and Hinline. Abariotes absent. Nay: None. Motion carried.

Shurie Graeve, County Attorney, was present to discuss her request to take over the 3rd floor Veteran Service Officer's office. Graeve stated they have a space issue and are requesting additional office space. At 2:50 PM the Board recessed to visit the Co Atty's 3rd floor conference room office. At 3:05 PM meeting reconvened. Hinline motioned, seconded by Quist to refer this to the Building and Grounds committee. Vote- Aye: Clausen, Kruse, Quist, Johnson, Kruger and Hinline. Abariotes absent. Nay: None. Motion carried.

Chairman announced the Board would enter into a closed session regarding pending litigation -personnel matters, the prevention of needless injury to the reputation of an individual, Sheriff's Office. Clausen motioned, seconded by Kruse to go into closed session at 3:08 PM. Vote- Aye: Clausen, Kruse, Quist, Johnson, Kruger and Hinline. Abariotes absent. Nay: None. Motion carried. Kruger motioned, seconded by Quist to end the closed session at 3:26 PM. Vote- Aye: Clausen, Kruse, Quist, Johnson, Kruger, Abariotes and Hinline. Nay: None. Motion carried. Chairman stated the reason for closed session was to discuss pending litigation. Chairman announced Abariotes returned to the meeting at 3:12 PM. No action was taken.

There were no comments from the public.

Claims were received and reviewed, following which it was moved by Kruger and seconded by Kruse that the following claims be allowed and Clerk ordered to issue warrants on the various funds for the same. Vote- Aye: Clausen, Kruse, Quist, Johnson, Kruger and Hinline. Abariotes absent. Nay: None. Motion carried.

GENERAL FUND

EMPLOYEES	Payroll 4-29-11	131,540.81
AMERITAS LIFE INS CORP	Retirement	9,059.93
WASHINGTON COUNTY BANK	FICA	10,062.91
EMPLOYEES	Payroll 4-15-11	132,196.90
AMERITAS LIFE INS CORP	Retirement	9,060.85
UNITED HEALTHCARE INS CO	Ins	40,140.25
WASHINGTON COUNTY BANK	FICA	9,320.02
WASHINGTON COUNTY BANK	Ins	7,960.32
DALE ALBRACHT	Reimb Mileage	135.15
ALLTEL	Serv	72.53
ALLTEL	Serv	71.16
AMERICAN PLANNING ASSOCIATION	Dues	320.00
BLACK HILLS ENERGY	Serv	93.42
BLAIR BOOK & SUPPLY CO	Supplies	106.45
BOMGAARS	Supplies	99.88
BOYDSTON LAW OFFICE LLC	Crt Appt Attny	579.00
CARLSON & BURNETT, LLP	Crt Appt Attny	126.00
LAW OFFICE OF ERIC R CHANDLER	Crt Appt Attny	408.00
CITY OF BLAIR	Serv & Impound	792.62
CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT	Filing Fees	407.00
CONSTELLATION ENERGY	Serv	2,591.66
CONTROL SERVICES INC	Serv	624.00
DELL MARKETING LP	Supplies	110.99

DORNAN, LUSTGARTEN & TROIA PC LLO	Crt Appt Attny	98.75
EGAN SUPPLY COMPANY	Supplies	180.16
FOOD DISTRIBUTION PROGRAM	Supplies	37.47
NORA H GOLL	Crt Appt Attny	1,788.00
HEADSETTERS	Equip	408.00
MARJORIE HOIER	Reimb Mileage	37.74
IAEI	Certification	15.00
JETTER'S PLUMBING INC	Serv	192.59
JOHNSON & MOCK, ATTORNEYS AT LAW	Crt Appt Attny	1,459.00
STEPHEN J KRAVIEC PC LLO	Child Support Enf	1,384.61
KUENY & BEGLEY LAW OFFICES	Crt Appt Attny	1,344.00
LAND AIR SEA	Serv	49.89
GAYLE E MAINE	Reimb Mileage	202.40
MARION COUNTY SHERIFF	Serv	26.00
MCGOUGH LAW P.C., L.L.O.	Crt Appt Attny	12,988.02
STEVEN MENCKE	Reimb Mileage	128.68
MILLS LAW OFFICE	Crt Appt Attny	1,305.00
MOTOROLA	Equip	115.60
MULTI-COUNTY INFORMATION & NE HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES	Equip Rental	116.00
NEBRASKA PLANNING AND ZONING ASSOC	Serv	93.00
NEBRASKA PUBLIC HEALTH ENVIRO LAB	Conference	160.00
NEXTEL	Serv	84.00
OFFICE NET	Serv	678.33
OFFICE WAREHOUSE	Supplies	230.30
OMAHA PUBLIC POWER DISTRICT	Supplies	846.86
ORTMEIER'S TV & APPLIANCE INC	Serv	202.87
PHILLIPS 66 - CONOCO - 76	Supplies	45.98
PITNEY BOWES INC	Fuel	843.35
POSTMASTER	Postage Machine	978.00
CALVIN POULSEN	May DMV	653.38
POUNDS PRINTING INC	GIS Serv	1,029.00
QUALITY HOTEL & CONVENTION CENTER	Supplies	140.00
REDFIELD & COMPANY INC	Weed WS	158.57
REGION 6, BEHAVIORAL HEALTHCARE	Supplies	218.80
REGISTER LAW OFFICE	Serv	11,919.00
ROHRIG ANIMAL HOSPITAL	Crt Appt Attny	3,522.00
PATRICK R RUNGE	Supplies	8.20
SHOTWELL GLASS LLC	Crt Appt Attny	294.00
SIDNER, SVOBODA,SCHILKE,THOMSEN	Windshield	225.00
DANIEL A. SMITH	Crt Appt Attny	375.00
STAMP FULFILLMENT SERVICES	Crt Appt Attny	423.00
CAROLYN STODOLA	Supplies	798.60
TELRITE CORPORATION	Reimb Camera	95.09
THE CLEANER CO	Serv	428.13
TOWN & COUNTRY PEST CONTROL INC	Supplies	632.63
LAW OFFICES OF KELLY HENRY TURNER	Serv	76.00
UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE	Crt Appt Attny	951.00
JIM VAN CLEAVE	Treas Meter	4,000.00
WALGREEN DRUG STORE	Reimb Mileage	216.24
JENNIFER D WALKINGSTICK	Assessor Photos	200.00
WASHINGTON COUNTY TREASURER	Crt Appt Attny	900.00
WASHINGTON COUNTY TREASURER	Drainage Districts	5,230.12
WEST PAYMENT CENTER	Reimb Supplies	47.39
	Crt Supplies	61.00
ROAD FUND		
EMPLOYEES	Payroll 4-29-11	36,083.60
AMERTITAS LIFE INS CORP	Retirement	2,435.63
WASHINGTON COUNTY BANK	FICA	2,760.37

EMPLOYEES	Payroll 4-15-11	36,141.40
AMERITAS LIFE INS CORP	Retirement	2,439.55
UNITED HEALTHCARE INS CO	Ins	9,807.96
WASHINGTON COUNTY BANK	FICA	2,560.37
WASHINGTON COUNTY BANK	Ins	1,975.50
BOMGAARS	Materials	189.09
BRAKKAN TRUCKING	Equip Rent	3,165.01
HOLIDAY INN - KEARNEY	Conference	79.94
HOTSY EQUIPMENT COMPANY INC	Parts	51.00
JEO CONSULTING GROUP, INC	Engineering	13,051.50
KENNARD TRANSFER	Equip Rent	3,366.20
RONALD KERSTETTER	Equip Rent	4,735.17
KNUDSEN OIL & FEED	Fuel	3,643.17
LOGAN CONTRACTORS SUPPLY INC	Materials	1,695.51
MARTIN MARIETTA MATERIALS	Rock	15,173.69
MEDICAL ENTERPRISES, INC	Testing	46.00
MEMORIAL COMMUNITY HOSPITAL &	Testing	99.00
MIDWEST SERVICE & SALES CO	Flap Gate	328.00
NEBRASKA IOWA SUPPLY COMPANY	Fuel	4,720.49
NMC EXCHANGE LLC	Parts	19,078.35
ORTMEIER'S TV & APPLIANCE INC	Equip	121.79
CHERYL K PARSONS	Reimb Conf Exp	23.08
PERSONALIZED COMPUTER SERVICES	Serv	44.95
SAFETY-KLEEN	Serv	93.77
SAPP BROS PETROLEUM, INC	Propane	217.74
SHOTWELL GLASS LLC	Equip	30.00
PEGGY L SMITH	Reimb Mileage	102.00
VANDER HAAGS INC	Rebuild Motor	650.00
HARDSURFACE FUND		
JEO CONSULTING GROUP, INC	Engineering	495.00
HI-WAY SAFETY FUND		
EMPLOYEES	Payroll 4-29-11	5,456.33
AMERITAS LIFE INS CORP	Retirement	404.93
WASHINGTON COUNTY BANK	FICA	417.40
EMPLOYEES	Payroll 4-15-11	3,927.90
AMERITAS LIFE INS CORP	Retirement	291.63
WASHINGTON COUNTY BANK	FICA	300.49
ENHANCED 911 WIRELESS FUND		
GREAT PLAINS COMMUNICATIONS INC	Serv	131.37
911 FUND		
MOTOROLA	Serv	2,436.13
OMAHA PUBLIC POWER DISTRICT	Maint. April-Sept	55,380.00

There being no further business to come before the Board at this time, it was moved by Clausen and seconded by Kruse that the meeting be adjourned until the next regular Board meeting date, Tuesday, May 10, 2011. All members present voted aye, Chairperson declared meeting adjourned.

Attest:

Merry M. Truhlsen
Washington County Clerk

Mary Alice Johnson, Chairperson
Washington County Board of Supervisors

I, Merry M. Truhlsen, County Clerk, in and for Washington County, Blair, Nebraska, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings took place during the April 26, 2011 meeting of the Washington County Board of Supervisors.

Merry M. Truhlsen
Washington County Clerk